Staff : DR.MISHA M S

Subject : MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1	**Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K22 A**
Session Id : TE-SN1813-Feb-2023	**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**
Users Completed : 34	**Overall Score : 95.01**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	26	76.47%	**461.5**
		Good	14.13	8	23.53%	**113.04**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	73.53%	**362.5**
		Good	11.81	9	26.47%	**106.29**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	27	79.41%	**202.5**
		Good	5.71	5	14.71%	**28.55**
		Fair	4.04	2	5.88%	**8.08**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	26	76.47%	**152.1**
		10 to 25	3.66	1	2.94%	**3.66**
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.94%	**1.91**
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	17.65%	**9.84**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	28	82.35%	**112**
		Yes	0.67	1	2.94%	**0.67**
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	14.71%	**8.25**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	28	82.35%	**259**
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	17.65%	**38.04**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	33	97.06%	**156.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	1	2.94%	**1.34**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	30	88.24%	**206.4**
		Some Times	3.89	3	8.82%	**11.67**
		No	0.74	1	2.94%	**0.74**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	33	97.06%	**222.75**
		Indifferent	2.78	1	2.94%	**2.78**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	34	100%	**391**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	29	85.29%	**326.25**
		Good	9.03	4	11.76%	**36.12**
		Fair	6.46	1	2.94%	**6.46**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness

- pleasant
- Thank you ma'am for everything, really would like you to teach us for the next sems as well.

Special Remarks

- friendly

Head of The Department

Staff : RAJI V.V

Subject : CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1	**Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K22 A**
Session Id : TE-SN1813-Feb-2023	**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**
Users Completed : 33	**Overall Score : 82.54**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	14	42.42%	**248.5**
		Good	14.13	14	42.42%	**197.82**
		Fair	10.28	5	15.15%	**51.4**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	11	33.33%	**159.5**
		Good	11.81	15	45.45%	**177.15**
		Fair	8.11	6	18.18%	**48.66**
		Poor	2.01	1	3.03%	**2.01**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	10	30.3%	**75**
		Good	5.71	13	39.39%	**74.23**
		Fair	4.04	9	27.27%	**36.36**
		Poor	1.13	1	3.03%	**1.13**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	24	72.73%	**140.4**
		10 to 25	3.66	4	12.12%	**14.64**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	15.15%	**8.2**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	24	72.73%	**96**
		Yes	0.67	4	12.12%	**2.68**
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	15.15%	**8.25**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	15	45.45%	**138.75**
		Satisfactory	6.34	15	45.45%	**95.1**
		Inadequate	2.50	3	9.09%	**7.5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	29	87.88%	**137.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	3	9.09%	**4.02**
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	3.03%	**1.4**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	20	60.61%	**137.6**
		Some Times	3.89	12	36.36%	**46.68**
		No	0.74	1	3.03%	**0.74**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	20	60.61%	**135**
		Indifferent	2.78	8	24.24%	**22.24**
		Unpleasant	1.28	4	12.12%	**5.12**
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	3.03%	**1.94**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	28	84.85%	**322**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	12.12%	**20.24**
		Blank	4.03	1	3.03%	**4.03**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	12	36.36%	**135**
		Good	9.03	14	42.42%	**126.42**
		Fair	6.46	6	18.18%	**38.76**
		Poor	1.56	1	3.03%	**1.56**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness

- didnt covered all portions
- We really feel it's hard to approach ma'am even though she might explain our doubts. Also, ma'am is funny and keeps the class always active, I appreciate her a lot for that. Lots of thanks to you, ma'am.

Special Remarks

- good

Head of The Department

Staff : BINDHU BERNARD

Subject : EST100 - ENGINEERING MECHANICS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 **Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K22 A**

Session Id : TE-SN1813-Feb-2023 **Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 34 **Overall Score : 93.2**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	26	76.47%	**461.5**
		Good	14.13	6	17.65%	**84.78**
		Fair	10.28	2	5.88%	**20.56**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	73.53%	**362.5**
		Good	11.81	7	20.59%	**82.67**
		Fair	8.11	2	5.88%	**16.22**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	28	82.35%	**210**
		Good	5.71	5	14.71%	**28.55**
		Fair	4.04	1	2.94%	**4.04**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	24	70.59%	**140.4**
		10 to 25	3.66	3	8.82%	**10.98**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	20.59%	**11.48**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	28	82.35%	**112**
		Yes	0.67	2	5.88%	**1.34**
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	11.76%	**6.6**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	28	82.35%	**259**
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	17.65%	**38.04**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	32	94.12%	**152**
		Too Fast	1.34	1	2.94%	**1.34**
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	2.94%	**1.4**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	30	88.24%	**206.4**
		Some Times	3.89	4	11.76%	**15.56**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	29	85.29%	**195.75**
		Indifferent	2.78	3	8.82%	**8.34**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	5.88%	**3.88**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	32	94.12%	**368**
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.94%	**1.33**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	1	2.94%	**4.03**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	25	73.53%	**281.25**
		Good	9.03	8	23.53%	**72.24**
		Fair	6.46	1	2.94%	**6.46**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness

- nothing
- Ma'am, we wish you would be more open to us. Thank you for everything, for understanding and for being kind to us.

Special Remarks

- can be more friendly

Head of The Department

Staff : SHIFA N

Subject : EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1	**Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K22 A**
Session Id : TE-SN1813-Feb-2023	**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**
Users Completed : 30	**Overall Score : 97.4**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	28	93.33%	**497**
		Good	14.13	2	6.67%	**28.26**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	83.33%	**362.5**
		Good	11.81	5	16.67%	**59.05**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	27	90%	**202.5**
		Good	5.71	3	10%	**17.13**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	26	86.67%	**152.1**
		10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	**0**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	13.33%	**6.56**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	26	86.67%	**104**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	13.33%	**6.6**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	27	90%	**249.75**
		Satisfactory	6.34	3	10%	**19.02**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	30	100%	**142.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	29	96.67%	**199.52**
		Some Times	3.89	1	3.33%	**3.89**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	30	100%	**202.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	30	100%	**345**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	24	80%	**270**
		Good	9.03	6	20%	**54.18**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness

- goood teaching
- Thank you ma'am for making Civil Engg a memorable subject.

Special Remarks

- notes are nice

Head of The Department

Staff : DONA SEBASTIAN

Subject : HUN101 - LIFESKILLS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1

Session Id : TE-SN1813-Feb-2023

Users Completed : 30

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K22 A

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 96.15

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	27	90%	**479.25**
		Good	14.13	3	10%	**42.39**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	26	86.67%	**377**
		Good	11.81	4	13.33%	**47.24**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	25	83.33%	**187.5**
		Good	5.71	5	16.67%	**28.55**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	25	83.33%	**146.25**
		10 to 25	3.66	1	3.33%	**3.66**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	13.33%	**6.56**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	24	80%	**96**
		Yes	0.67	2	6.67%	**1.34**
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	13.33%	**6.6**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	26	86.67%	**240.5**
		Satisfactory	6.34	4	13.33%	**25.36**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	29	96.67%	**137.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	3.33%	**1.4**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	28	93.33%	**192.64**
		Some Times	3.89	2	6.67%	**7.78**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	29	96.67%	**195.75**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	3.33%	**1.94**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	29	96.67%	**333.5**
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	3.33%	**1.33**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	24	80%	**270**
		Good	9.03	6	20%	**54.18**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
- very friendly
- Lot of thanks to ma'am.

Special Remarks
- friendly

Head of The Department

Staff : HARIKUTTAN K.

Subject : EST120(B) - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1   **Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K22 A**

Session Id : TE-SN1813-Feb-2023   **Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 30   **Overall Score : 78.45**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	16	53.33%	**284**
		Good	14.13	11	36.67%	**155.43**
		Fair	10.28	1	3.33%	**10.28**
		Poor	3.53	2	6.67%	**7.06**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	12	40%	**174**
		Good	11.81	7	23.33%	**82.67**
		Fair	8.11	6	20%	**48.66**
		Poor	2.01	4	13.33%	**8.04**
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	3.33%	**4.09**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	15	50%	**112.5**
		Good	5.71	7	23.33%	**39.97**
		Fair	4.04	7	23.33%	**28.28**
		Poor	1.13	1	3.33%	**1.13**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	18	60%	**105.3**
		10 to 25	3.66	3	10%	**10.98**
		More than 25	1.91	2	6.67%	**3.82**
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	23.33%	**11.48**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	22	73.33%	**88**
		Yes	0.67	2	6.67%	**1.34**
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	20%	**9.9**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	14	46.67%	**129.5**
		Satisfactory	6.34	7	23.33%	**44.38**
		Inadequate	2.50	6	20%	**15**
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	10%	**9.48**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	22	73.33%	**104.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	1	3.33%	**1.34**
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	23.33%	**9.8**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	21	70%	**144.48**
		Some Times	3.89	4	13.33%	**15.56**
		No	0.74	3	10%	**2.22**
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	6.67%	**3.56**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	22	73.33%	**148.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	2	6.67%	**5.56**
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	6.67%	**2.56**
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	13.33%	**7.76**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	21	70%	**241.5**
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	10%	**3.99**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	5	16.67%	**25.3**
		Blank	4.03	1	3.33%	**4.03**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	14	46.67%	**157.5**
		Good	9.03	8	26.67%	**72.24**
		Fair	6.46	1	3.33%	**6.46**
		Poor	1.56	6	20%	**9.36**
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	3.33%	**2.03**

Strength and Weakness

- nothingg
- I feel that sir should use a mic. His voice does not have the clarity, and does not reach all the way till the very back of the class. Maybe he should teach by going through the text, as we dont get separate notes.

Special Remarks

- teaching style unappropriate

- Lot of thanks to sir, for everything. Mechanical is a very interesting subject.

Head of The Department